

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/708,366	02/26/2004	Su-Chen Chen	12456-US-PA	2365	
31561 7	590 11/23/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE			THOMAS, AL	THOMAS, ALEXANDER S	
7 FLOOR-1, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ROOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2				
TAIWAN			DATE MAILED: 11/23/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/708,366	CHEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alexander Thomas	1772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	<b>;</b>
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re b. criod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed  (HS from the mailing date of this commun. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	6 November 2005.		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ 1	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the mer	its is
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and</li> </ul>	drawn from consideration.	· .	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to b the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (a) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	` ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. The sents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No ecceived in this National Stag	e
Attachment(s)  1) \( \sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) \( \sum \) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)  Interview Su	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
<ul> <li>Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	_	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/708,366

Art Unit: 1772

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6, 8, 12-14 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly 1. anticipated by Cuffey et al. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive. Applicant argues that Cuffey fails to teach that his paperboard is made of unidirectional tearable material and that it is well known that tearing paperboard in every direction is almost the same. However, Cuffey clearly discloses that his paperboard has a grain direction and that the paperboard tends to tear in the grain direction; see column 1, lines 44-46. Furthermore, Cuffey discloses that materials other than paper can be used (see column 5, lines 69-73) and applicant discloses that paper can be used; see [0010]. Applicant also argues that Cuffey fails to teach that the tearing direction, i.e. the grain direction, is across a linear perforation. However, this is not true because Cuffey clearly shows the tearing direction across a linear perforation in Figures 3-15; note the grain direction and the slits in the Figures. Finally applicant argues that Cuffey fails to teach that the grain of the paperboard is the only tearable direction for his paperboard. However the article in Cuffey will clearly tear in a direction of the linear slits which is not in the dame direction of the grain of the paperboard.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/708,366

Art Unit: 1772

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 3. Claims 7, 9, 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cuffey et al. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive for the reasons of record and for the reasons set forth above in paragraph 1.
- 4. Claims 10, 11, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cuffey et al. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive for the reasons of record and for the reasons set forth above in paragraph 1.
- 5. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cuffey in view of Friedland et al. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive for the reasons of record and for the reasons set forth above in paragraph 1.

### Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/708,366 Page 4

Art Unit: 1772

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-1502. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00 M-THUR.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALEXANDER S. THOMAS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Oleyoudy S. Mouca